

# Integration of activity-based with agent-based models

An example from the Tel Aviv model and MATSim

**Working Paper****Author(s):**

Bekhor, Shlomo; Dobler, Christoph; [Axhausen, Kay W.](#) 

**Publication date:**

2010

**Permanent link:**

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-006121544>

**Rights / license:**

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

**Originally published in:**

Arbeitsberichte Verkehrs- und Raumplanung 628

# Integration of Activity-Based with Agent-Based Models: an Example from the Tel Aviv Model and MATSim

Shlomo Bekhor

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Haifa, 32000, Israel

Phone: 972-4-829 24 60, Fax: 972-4-829 57 08

[sbekhor@technion.ac.il](mailto:sbekhor@technion.ac.il)

Christoph Dobler

Institute for Transport Planning and Systems, ETH Zurich

HIL F 34.1, Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 15, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

Phone: 41-44-633 65 29, Fax: 41-44-633 10 57

[dobler@ivt.baug.ethz.ch](mailto:dobler@ivt.baug.ethz.ch)

Kay W. Axhausen

Institute for Transport Planning and Systems, ETH Zurich

HIL F 31.1, Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 15, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

Phone: 41-44-633 39 43, Fax: 41-44-633 10 57

[axhausen@ivt.baug.ethz.ch](mailto:axhausen@ivt.baug.ethz.ch)

Word Count: 4,278 + 12 (figures and tables) \* 250 = 7,278

**ABSTRACT**

This paper explores the possibility of integrating an operational activity based model with the dynamic modeling framework. For this purpose, the Tel Aviv activity-based model and the MATSim agent-based model are used, in an attempt to use the best features of both models: on one side, the disaggregate demand representation from the activity-based model, and on the other side, the disaggregate supply representation from the agent-based model.


The paper uses the person activity schedule produced by the activity based model directly, thus eliminating the need to use Origin-Destination matrices. The paper compares results produced by MATSim with the original static assignment of the Tel Aviv model that shows a very good fit at the aggregate level. The purpose of the paper is to further advance the full disaggregate implementation of activity-based models. In this aspect, this paper represents a first step towards this goal.

## INTRODUCTION

Activity scheduling models based on a series of choices have become increasingly popular in the last decade, and have been implemented for various policy applications. Examples of such choice-based models in the U.S. can be found in Portland (1), San Francisco (2), New York (3), Dallas-Fort Worth (4), Florida (5), to name a few; outside the US there are also several implementations such as Jakarta (6), Tel Aviv (7), the rule-based approach in the Netherlands (8), and MATSim applications in Zurich and Switzerland (9).

Although the different models differ in their structure, input data and aggregation level, it is possible to depict a common structure for the entire activity based model application, which is presented in Figure 1.

**Figure 1 Overall Activity-Based Model Application Structure**



In most activity based models, the "raw" output is the individual's list of activities and trips that include detailed information about departure time, destination and mode for each trip leg. This detailed output is aggregated into origin-destination (OD) matrices needed for the highway and transit assignments. These assignments can be either static (as in most models) or dynamic (as recently applied in Lin et al. (10)). The assignment outputs are traffic volumes and travel times, which in turn are used as inputs to the activity based models.

The TRANSIMS software (11) was originally designed to account for the full disaggregate representation of individual travel behavior. However, in a recent paper by Lawe et al. (12), the model was applied using standard OD matrices. The authors used TRANSIMS as router and micro-simulator using OD matrices for a given area.

The main reason for decoupling the demand side of the problem (the activity based models) from the supply side of the problem (either assignment or simulation models) is that the activity models typically compute probabilities for a large number of alternatives, which

demands an explicit choice set. To account for such alternative sets in assignment or simulation procedures for real size networks would result in very long computation times.

This paper explores the possibility of integrating an operational activity based model with an dynamic modeling framework. For this purpose, we use the Tel Aviv Activity Model and the MATSim agent-based toolkit (13), in an attempt to use the best features of both models: on one side, the disaggregate demand representation from the activity-based model, and on the other side, the disaggregate supply representation from the agent-based model.

Recently, Gao et al. (14) compared results between the EMME/2 static assignment with the MATSim dynamic assignment. The comparison indicates that the results produced by MATSim are not only compatible to those by EMME/2 but more realistic from a temporal point of view. Hatzopoulou et al. (15) explored the potential integration of an existing activity-based travel demand model (TASHA) with MATSim for emission modeling. In both studies, the starting points are the OD matrices produced by the activity-based models.

The present paper further extends this line of research, by using the person activity schedule produced by the module directly, thus eliminating the need to use OD matrices. The purpose of the paper is to further advance the full disaggregate implementation of activity-based models. In this aspect, this paper represents a first step towards this goal.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The next sections briefly describe the activity based and the agent based models. The methodological section shows the first steps performed and the subsequent section compares results of the existing Tel Aviv model with the new combined model. The final section of the paper discusses challenges of future steps.

## **THE TEL AVIV ACTIVITY-BASED MODEL**

The Tel Aviv activity-based model system comprises a hierarchy of logit and nested logit models for the main stop of the tour - namely, activity type, time of day, destination, and mode. The intermediate stops are then modeled conditional on the main stop models. The Israel National Travel Habits Survey (NTHS) conducted in 1996 is the primary data source for model development. The Tel Aviv model accounts for up to two tours for each person: the most important tour of the day, referred to as the primary tour, and the second most important tour of the day, or the secondary tour.

The application of the Tel Aviv model contains four main functional units: Population Generator, Activity Generator, Trip Generator, and Trip Assignment. The distribution of sub-models between these units was based primarily on the computational efficiency and programming convenience, rather than on the logical interrelations between sub-models. A detailed discussion of model development and application can be found in a report prepared for the Ministry of Transport (16).

The Activity Generator Unit applies the activity-based model of travel behavior to each person included in the synthetic population sample. As a result, each person's daily travel is fully described and includes the types and number of daily tours, the number of

intermediate stops, the destinations of each activity and intermediate stop, the modes used in different parts of each tour, and the time of day that the individual travels. Table 1 shows the fields that are produced by the Activity Generator Unit. The structure of the file is similar to a typical household travel survey, and each record corresponds to a person in the study area. Note that the number of vehicles in household is part of the Activity Generator model.

Variable type	Variable description
Personal and household data from the Population Generator	Person ID
	Household ID
	Expansion factor for given record
	Age of person
	Gender Indicator
	Student Indicator
	Years of study
	Industry of employment
	License holder Indicator
	Household size
	Number of employed persons in household
	Number of licensed drivers in household
	TAZ of residence
	Employment status
	number of children in household
Variables calculated by the Activity Generator	Number of vehicles in household
	Main activity , primary tour
	Primary tour combined Time of Day
	TAZ of main destination, primary tour
	Main mode, primary tour
	Intermediate stops for primary tour
	Activity at stop before main destination
	Activity at stop after main destination
	TAZ of intermediate stop before main destination
	TAZ of intermediate stop after main destination
	mode switch at main destination
	Main activity, secondary tour
	main mode, secondary tour
	TAZ of main destination, secondary tour
	Secondary tour combined TOD
	Intermediate stops for secondary tour
	TAZ of intermediate stop before main destination, secondary tour
	TAZ of intermediate stop after main destination, secondary tour

**Table 1 Activity Based Items in the Tel Aviv Model**


The Trip Generator Unit summarizes individual trips into mode-specific demand matrices for different periods of day. These matrices are then used as input to the Trip Assignment Unit, which performs all necessary auto and transit assignments by periods of day. In the present paper, we will use directly the activity based file produced by the Activity Generator Unit.

### THE MATSIM AGENT-BASED TOOLKIT

MATSim is an agent-based transport demand modeling framework that operates on the basis of individual agent plans; a plan being a schedule of activities, their locations and the travel legs connecting them.

Figure 2 illustrates its basic principle. An initial demand of a full day plan of activities for each agent is generated and executed in a mobility simulation. Plans are scored after the simulation step and, based on the score, agents adapt their plans in response to conditions that arose during the simulation.

Figure 2 MATSim basic structure




A MATSim simulation converges to a state analogous to the user equilibrium through a process of systematic relaxation (17). Such convergence is achieved through adapting and deriving a set of feasible plans for each agent from their original initial plan. As these sets of plans grow to a limiting number, bad performing plans are discarded. Consequently, each agent's set of feasible plans improves with increasing iterations. Feasible new plans can be derived from existing ones by changing activity timings, locations, re-routing travel legs between activities, changing transport modes connecting activities or dropping activities from the activity schedule altogether.

## METHODOLOGY

This section describes the initial steps performed to integrate the Tel Aviv Activity Model in MATSim. Using the same general framework presented in Figure 1, two possible frameworks are outlined in Figure 3.

Figure 3 Proposed Modeling Frameworks



The first approach is simpler, in which the MATSim toolkit is used as a replacement to the static assignment block. In the specific case of the Tel Aviv model, the departure time choice could be directly integrated in this block, because the current model application uses aggregate time periods, as explained in the subsequent section. At this stage the feedback between the two models (Tel Aviv and MATSim) is performed manually, so it is not possible to compare run times.

The second approach involves the adaptation of the utility functions estimated in the activity based models to the MATSim environment. The current MATSim implementation contains heuristic utility functions with limited set of variables, most of them related to the network level of service. In addition, there is a challenge involved in calculating the individual plans based on explicit choice sets. In the current MATSim version, the individual plans are changed according to the scoring function based on genetic algorithms (18), which are difficult to be adapted to accommodate explicit large choice sets. This logic follows the logic of assignment modeling, where the choice is built incrementally over the iterations of the equilibrium search.

In the present paper, we limit ourselves to the iterative approach, and the next subsections describe the steps needed to convert the static parts of the Tel Aviv model to the dynamic environment.

### **Departure Time Discretization**

In the Tel Aviv model system there are two separate models that determine the activity starting and duration. The main model is a logit model accounting for the joint choice of time period of departure from home and from the main activity stop of the tour. The time periods modeled include early morning (MO – 03:00 to 06:30), a.m. peak (AM – 06:30 to 08:30), midday (MD – 08:30 to 15:00), p.m. peak (PM – 15:00 to 20:00), and late evening (EV – 20:00 to 03:00). A total of 15 time period combinations cover a full day.

The second model is a detailed time of day model developed by Popuri et al. (19). This model is a post-processor to the travel demand model system and is designed to be a policy analysis tool. This model looks at the time-of-day choices at a greater level of temporal resolution for automobile modes. In the current version, we only made use of the first model, since the second one was not implemented yet.

Since the MATSim toolkit allocates individual trips dynamically, it is necessary to provide precise departure times. This was performed by disaggregating the 15 periods together with activity duration constraints. These constraints represent the time windows when an activity can be performed. For primary activities, the following assumptions were made: 8 hours and 4 hours for full-time and part-time workers, respectively; 5 hours for education activities; 3 hours for shopping and other activities. For secondary activities, it was assumed that each activity purpose last two hours shorter. The remaining hours are either spent on traveling, which is calculated using the MATSim simulator, or at home. Assuming initial departure times as the median of each time period, the model allocates the best departure times, accounting for the constraints mentioned above.

### **Traffic Analysis Zones Discretization**

The Tel Aviv Metropolitan Area is divided into 1,219 traffic analysis zones (TAZ). The MATSim agent-based model represents each facility (dwelling unit, workplace, etc.) along the links that compose the network. To keep consistency between the approaches, but also to take advantage of the better disaggregate supply representation, the aggregate zonal values were distributed proportionally in the links that form each TAZ. Only local and collector streets were included, to avoid placing facilities close to freeways. The link length was used to weigh the distribution, so longer links will have consequently more facilities along them.

This procedure was performed using the GIS database from the Tel Aviv model that includes both the zone boundaries, zonal characteristics and the highway network. A special program was written to perform this task.

The Tel Aviv Metropolitan Area has 3.2 million inhabitants. The Tel Aviv model currently runs with 10% of the full population to avoid long run times. To keep consistency, the same sample was used for the MATSim runs.


## Network Conversion

The Assignment Unit of the Tel Aviv model uses EMME/2 software (20) for performing traffic and transit assignments. There are no major issues related to the conversion of EMME/2 networks from the Tel Aviv model to MATSim, and details can be found in Gao et al. (13). To maintain consistency, the same link free-flow travel times and capacities from the EMME/2 network were considered. Turn penalties are converted to MATSim network by expanding the nodes containing turn restrictions to a set of nodes that are interconnected according to the given restrictions. The converted network has 7,879 nodes and 17,118 links.

## RESULTS


This section presents selected results from the combined models. The first result is obtained from the original Tel Aviv model. Figure 4 compares the distribution of primary tours by time period obtained from the Tel Aviv model and the survey. As expected, most tours correspond to AM-MD (morning peak to mid-day) and AM-PM (morning peak to afternoon peak) periods, which are respectively related to the Education and Work activities. The labels on the x-axis correspond to the 15 period combinations.

Figure 4 Distribution of trips by time period



The results of the discretization process are displayed in Figure 5, which shows the distribution of the agents (individuals) for all trip modes by time of day. As indicated in the methodology section, the model starts the run assuming the departure time equal to the median of each time period, and tries to find the best score for each individual. After several iterations, the MATSim model reaches a condition in which the combined departure time and route choice for each individual cannot be improved, given the constraints imposed on activity duration and starting activity times.

Figure 5 Distribution of agents by time of day



The traffic flows obtained from MATSim were compared against the original Tel Aviv model performed with the EMME/2 static assignment. For ease of comparison, the MATSim results were aggregated by hour. Figure 6 provides a qualitative view of the flow difference between the two models on the main roads of the metropolitan area, for the AM peak hour. The green signs are dominant, indicating that the differences between the two models are relatively small.

Figure 6 Comparison between MATSim and EMME/2 results





Figure 7 provides a quantitative comparison of the link flows on main roads. The regression line is a bit skewed, meaning that the MATSim flows on these roads are smaller compared to the original model.

**Figure 7 Link flow comparison on main roads**




To investigate the reason for the differences in traffic flows, we first compared the flows produced by the models with respect to traffic counts. Table 2 presents a summary of the comparison for 2 main screen lines of the metropolitan area: Highway 4, which runs in the North-South direction, and Highway 5, which runs East-West. The overall results show a very good match against traffic counts.

Screen Line	Direction	Traffic Counts	EMME/2		MATSim	
			volumes	Deviation	volumes	deviation
Highway 4	Eastbound	30,416	28,982	-5%	30,275	0%
	Westbound	39,507	42,230	7%	39,967	1%
	Total	69,923	71,212	2%	70,242	0%
Highway 5	Northbound	15,344	15,244	-1%	15,702	2%
	Southbound	24,814	23,515	-5%	24,042	-3%
	Total	40,158	38,758	-3%	39,744	-1%
Grand Total		110,081	109,970	0%	109,986	0%

**Table 2 Screen line comparison**

Figure 8 shows that the regression slope for the link flow comparison on screen lines is closer to 1, compared to the results presented in Figure 7.

**Figure 8 Link flow comparison on screen lines**



The MATSim model provides different link flows compared to the static assignment. This is expected given the high congestion at the AM peak hour, and consequently several links in the static assignment are oversaturated. The dynamic model in MATSim includes a queuing representation that better reflects the congestion effect, compared to the simple volume-delay functions used in the static assignment.

Further inspection of the simulation results reveals the explanation for the link flow differences. Figures 9 and 10 respectively display two simulation snapshots for 7:00 AM and 7:30 AM. Because of space limits, only these two time slots are shown. The green dots represent agents traveling on non-congested links, and the red dots represent agents traveling on congested links. The highway crossing the area in the East-West direction is Highway 5, used in the screen line comparisons.

As expected, there are more trips on the network at 7:30 AM compared to 7:00 AM. In contrast to the 7:00 snapshot which has few congested links, the 7:30 snapshot shows that in certain links the flow is close to capacity, which causes some spillback. The overall result is closer to traffic counts in comparison to the static assignment model.

Figure 9 Snapshot of the simulation at 7:00



Figure 10 Snapshot of the Simulation at 7:30



## DISCUSSION

This paper reports the results of the first step towards the integration of an activity based model in the agent-based dynamic framework. The paper benefits from two existing applications, namely the Tel Aviv model and the MATSim toolkit. Although at this stage is not possible to compare run times, the dynamic assignment in MATSim is quite fast for the medium size network considered: it takes about 2 hours to perform 100 iterations. The Activity Generator Unit of the Tel Aviv model needs about 1 hour per iteration to create the activity schedule. This means that the combined model runs in a reasonable amount of time.

The paper focused on the comparison of the aggregate results, to show that the more detailed behavioral representation can be also used for general planning as well as more detailed case studies. Similar to Gao et al. (13), who also compared EMME/2 and MATSim, we found a very good match at the aggregate level. Note however, that in their paper the only re-planning strategy allowed was re-routing. In the current paper, both re-routing and activity timings are allowed to change.

This paper shows that the full activity list can be directly employed, without the need to create Origin-Destination matrices needed before. This feature is one of the reasons for improved run times, as indicated in Rieser et al. (21).

The next step towards a full integration is the inclusion of the utility functions developed in activity based models in the agent-based framework. In the Tel Aviv model, we are currently working on the destination choice problem, since there is a the location choice module available in MATSim (22). The idea is to replace the search space by a pre-calculated destination probability distribution which would be computed in accordance with the activity based model. The initial results are promising and will be reported in a subsequent paper.

## REFERENCES

- 
- 1 Bowman, J. L., M. A. Bradley, Y. Shiftan, T. K. Lawton, and M. E. Ben-Akiva. Demonstration of an Activity Based Model System for Portland. Presented at 8th World Conference on Transport Research, Antwerp, Belgium, July 12–17, 1998.
  - 2 Bradley, M. A., M. Outwater, N. Jonnalagadda, and E. Ruiter. Estimation of an Activity-Based Micro-Simulation Model for San Francisco. Presented at 80th Annual Meeting of Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2001.
  - 3 Vovsha, P., E. Petersen, and R. Donnelly. Microsimulation in Travel Demand Modeling: Lessons Learned from the New York Best Practice Model. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 1805, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2002, pp. 68–77.

- 
- 4 Bhat, C. R., J. Y. Guo, S. Srinivasan, and A. Sivakumar. Comprehensive Econometric Microsimulator for Daily Activity-Travel Patterns. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 1894, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2004, pp. 57–66.
- 5 Pendyala, R.M., R. Kitamura, A. Kikuchi, T. Yamamoto, and S. Fujii. Florida Activity Mobility Simulator: Overview and Preliminary Validation Results. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 1921, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2005, pp. 123–130.
- 6 Yagi, S., and A. Mohammadian. An Activity-Based Microsimulation Model of Travel Demand in the Jakarta Metropolitan Area. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 3(1), 2010, pp. 32-57.
- 7 Shiftan Y., M. E. Ben-Akiva, K. Proussaloglou, G. de Jong, Y. Popuri, K. Kasturirangan, and S. Bekhor. Activity-Based Modeling as a Tool for Better Understanding Travel Behaviour, paper presented at the 10th International Conference on Travel Behaviour Research, Lucerne, August 2003.
- 8 Arentze T., and H.J.P. Timmermans. ALBATROSS: a learning-based transportation oriented simulation system. Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, 2000.
- 9 Balmer, M., K. W. Axhausen, and K. Nagel. An Agent-Based Demand-Modeling Framework for Large-Scale Microsimulations. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 1985, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2006, pp. 125–134.
- 10 Lin D., N. Eluru, S. T. Waller, and C. R. Bhat. Evacuation Planning Using the Integrated System of Activity-Based Modeling and Dynamic Traffic Assignment. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2132, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2009, pp. 69–77.
- 11 TRANSIMS: Transportation Analysis Simulation System. Version 3.0. Report LA-UR-00-1724. Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, N.M., 2004.
- 12 Lawe, S., J. Lobb, A. W. Sadek, S. Huang, and C. Xie. TRANSIMS Implementation in Chittenden County, Vermont: Development, Calibration, and Preliminary Sensitivity Analysis. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2132, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2009, pp. 113–121.
- 13 MATSIM, Multi Agent Traffic SIMulation. <http://www.matsim.org>. Accessed online June to July 2010.
- 14 Gao, W., M. Balmer, and E.J. Miller. Comparisons between MATSim and EMME/2 on the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area Network. Presented at 89th Annual Meeting of Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2010.

- 
- 15 Hao, J.Y., M. Hatzopoulou, and E.J. Miller. Integrating and Activity-Based Travel Demand Model with Dynamic Traffic Assignment and Emission Models: A Case Study of the Greater Toronto Area. Presented at 89th Annual Meeting of Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2010.
- 16 Cambridge Systematics Inc. Tel-Aviv Activity Schedule Travel Demand Model System A Tour-Based Approach. Final Report prepared for the Ministry of Transport, December 2008.
- 17 Balmer, M. Travel demand modelling for multi-agent transport simulations: algorithms and systems. Ph.D. thesis. ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 2007.
- 18 Charypar, D. and K. Nagel. Generating complete all-day activity plans with genetic algorithms, *Transportation*, 32 (4), 2005, pp. 369–397.
- 19 Popuri Y., M. E. Ben-Akiva, and K. Prousaloglou. Time-of-Day Modeling in a Tour-Based Context: Tel Aviv Experience. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2076, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2008, pp. 88–96.
- 20 EMME/2 On-line Documentation. Release 9.6 – May 30, 2005. INRO, Montreal, Canada.
- 21 Rieser, M., K. Nagel, U. Beuck, M. Balmer, and J. Rumenapp. Truly agent-oriented coupling of an activity-based demand generation with a multi-agent traffic simulation. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2021, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2007, pp. 10–17.
- 22 Horni, A., D. M. Scott, M. Balmer, and K. W. Axhausen. Location Choice Modeling for Shopping and Leisure Activities with MATSim: Combining Microsimulation and Time Geography. In *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, No. 2135, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2009, pp. 87–95.